# Exhibit B

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Guido Saveri (22349) guido@saveri.com R. Alexander Saveri (173102) rick@saveri Geoffrey C. Rushing (126910) grushing@s Cadio Zirpoli (179108) cadio@saveri.com SAVERI & SAVERI, INC. 706 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 217-6810 Facsimile: (415) 217-6813  Interim Lead Counsel for the Direct Purche Plaintiffs	saveri.com	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
12			
13	IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	MASTER FILE NO. 07-cv-5944 SC	
14		MDL NO. 1917	
15	This Document Relates to:	DIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO	
16	ALL DIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS	DEFENDANT MT PICTURE DISPLAY CO., LTD.'S FIRST SET OF DOCUMENT	
17		REQUESTS	
18			
19	PROPOUNDING PARTY: MT PICT	ROPOUNDING PARTY: MT PICTURE DISPLAY CO., LTD.	
20	RESPONDING PARTY: DIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS		
21	SET NO.: ONE		
22	Pursuant to Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Direct Purchaser		
23	Plaintiffs ("Plaintiffs") hereby respond to defendant MT Picture Display Co., Ltd.'s First Set of		
24	Request for Production of Documents as follows:		
25	PRELIMI	NARY STATEMENT	
26	Nothing herein should be construed as an admission by Plaintiffs respecting the		
27	admissibility or relevance of any fact or document, or of the truth or accuracy of any		
28	characterization or statement of any kind contained in defendant MT Picture Display Co., Ltd.'s		
	DIDECT DUDCH A GED DI A II	MDL NO. 1917	

DIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT MT PICTURE DISPLAY CO., LTD.'S FIRST SET OF DOCUMENT REQUESTS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**26** 

27

28

1	First Set of Request for Production of Documents ("Request for Production"). Plaintiffs have not
2	completed their investigation of the facts relating to this case or its preparation for trial. All
3	responses and objections contained herein are based only upon such information and such
4	documents as are presently available to and specifically known to Plaintiffs. It is anticipated that
5	independent investigation, legal research and analysis will supply additional facts and add
6	meaning to known facts, as well as establish entirely new factual conclusions and legal
7	contentions, all of which may lead to substantial additions to, changes in and variations from the
8	responses set forth herein.

The following objections and responses are made without prejudice to Plaintiffs' right to produce at trial, or otherwise, evidence regarding any subsequently discovered information, evidence and/or documents. Plaintiffs accordingly reserve the right to modify and amend any and all responses herein as research is completed and contentions are made.

### **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

Plaintiffs object generally to the Request for Production, and to each individual request therein, on the following grounds, each of which is incorporated by reference in the responses to the individual requests below. Each response set forth below incorporates, is subject to, and does not waive any of these general objections.

- 1. Plaintiffs object to each and every request to the extent that it seeks disclosure of information containing privileged communications or other matters protected by the attorneyclient privilege, the work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or doctrine. None of Plaintiffs' specific responses shall be construed to mean that Plaintiffs intend to provide privileged or work-product information in the absence of an intentional waiver. Any inadvertent disclosure of privileged or work-product information shall not constitute a waiver of an otherwise valid claim of privilege or other protection, and any failure to assert a privilege or other protection as to certain information shall not be deemed to constitute a waiver of the privilege or other protection as to any other information so protected.
- 2. The Request for Production, and certain of the requests contained therein, are unreasonably overbroad in scope, and thus burdensome and oppressive, in that each such request

seeks information pertaining to items and matters that are not relevant to the subject matter of this action, or, if relevant, are so remote therefrom as to make its disclosure of little or no practical benefit to defendant, while placing a wholly unwarranted burden and expense on Plaintiffs in locating, reviewing and producing the requested information.

- 3. The Request for Production, and certain of the requests contained therein, are burdensome and oppressive, in that ascertaining the information necessary to respond thereto, and to produce documents in accordance therewith, would require the review and compilation of information from multiple locations, and voluminous records and files, thereby involving substantial time of Plaintiffs' employees and great expense to Plaintiffs, whereas the information sought to be obtained by defendant would be of little use or benefit to defendant.
- 4. The Request for Production, and certain of the requests contained therein, purports to impose on Plaintiffs obligations or responsibilities in excess of those imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the applicable Local Rules, any other applicable procedural rules, case law, statutes governing the proper scope of discovery, or applicable Court Orders.
- 5. The Request for Production, and certain of the requests contained therein, calls for documents which are outside the possession, custody or control of Plaintiffs.
- 6. Plaintiffs object to each request, instruction, or definition to the extent it seeks information that is already in the possession of the propounding party or the other defendants or is obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome or less expensive.
- 7. Plaintiffs object to each request, instruction, or definition to the extent that it impermissibly seeks the premature disclosure of experts and expert information or requires Plaintiffs to disclose analyses, comparative analyses, opinions, or theories that will be the subject of expert testimony.
- 8. To the extent any term in the Request for Production is defined in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs will interpret such term as it is so defined and not as defined in the Request for Production.
- 9. The Request for Production, and certain of the requests contained therein, seek to have Plaintiffs furnish information and identify documents that are a matter of public record and,

1 therefore, are equally available to the propounding party as they are to Plaintiffs. 2 10. Plaintiffs' responses agreeing to produce documents in response to the requests 3 should not be construed as meaning that documents of the type requested exist, and should only be 4 construed as indicating that Plaintiffs will produce documents of the type requested if they are in 5 Plaintiffs' possession, custody or control, are not privileged or otherwise exempt from production, and can be located and produced through reasonable and good faith effort. 6 7 RESPONSES 8 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:** 9 All Documents that support Your response to Interrogatory No. 2. 10 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:** Plaintiffs incorporate the General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs 11 12 further object to this request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the 13 attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, or that is otherwise privileged or immune from discovery. Subject to, and without waiving, the 14 15 foregoing objections, plaintiffs respond as follows: Plaintiffs shall produce documents described in their response to MT Picture Display Co., **16** 17 Ltd.'s Interrogatory No. 2. 18 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:** 19 All Documents that support Your response to Interrogatory No. 3. **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:** 20 21 Plaintiffs incorporate the General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs further object to this request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the 23 attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, or 24 that is otherwise privileged or immune from discovery. Subject to, and without waiving, the foregoing objections, plaintiffs respond as follows: 25 **26** Plaintiffs shall produce documents described in their response to MT Picture Display Co., 27 Ltd.'s Interrogatory No. 2.

28

**REOUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:** 

All Documents that support Your response to Interrogatory No. 4.

## **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:**

Plaintiffs incorporate the General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs further object to this request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, or that is otherwise privileged or immune from discovery. Subject to, and without waiving, the foregoing objections, plaintiffs respond as follows:

Plaintiffs shall produce documents described in their response to MT Picture Display Co., Ltd.'s Interrogatory No. 2.

## **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:**

All Documents that support Your response to Interrogatory No. 5.

#### RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

Plaintiffs incorporate the General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs further object to this request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, or that is otherwise privileged or immune from discovery. Subject to, and without waiving, the foregoing objections, plaintiffs respond as follows:

Plaintiffs shall produce documents described in their response to MT Picture Display Co., Ltd.'s Interrogatory No. 2.

#### **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:**

All Documents that support Your response to Interrogatory No. 6.

#### **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:**

Plaintiffs incorporate the General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs further object to this request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, or that is otherwise privileged or immune from discovery. Subject to, and without waiving, the foregoing objections, plaintiffs respond as follows:

Plaintiffs shall produce documents described in their response to MT Picture Display Co.,

5 MDL NO. 1917

Ltd.'s Interrogatory No. 2.

# **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:**

All other documents that purportedly support Your allegations that Defendants conspired, combined and contracted to fix, raise, maintain, and stabilize the price at which finished products containing CRTs were sold in the United States, or agreed to allocate market shares and customers of sales of finished products containing CRTs.

# **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:**

Plaintiffs incorporate the General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs further object to this request to the extent it calls for the production of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, or that is otherwise privileged or immune from discovery. Subject to, and without waiving, the foregoing objections, plaintiffs respond as follows:

Plaintiffs shall produce documents described in their response to MT Picture Display Co., Ltd.'s Interrogatory No. 2.

DATED: January 31, 2011	By: /s/ Guido Saveri
•	SAVERI & SAVERI, INC.
	706 Sansome Street
	San Francisco, CA 94111
	Telephone: (415) 217-6810
	Facsimile: (415) 217-6813

Interim Lead Counsel for the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs

MDL NO. 1917